

TYPHOON TOUCH TECHNOLOGIES,
INC. and NOVA MOBILITY SYSTEMS,
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELL, INC., XPLORE TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
ELECTROVAYA INC., and SAND DUNE
VENTURES, INC.

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:07cv546

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants, Typhoon Touch Technologies, Inc. and Nova Mobility Systems, Inc., (collectively “Typhoon”), hereby reply to the First Amended Counterclaims of Dell, Inc., also known as Dell Computer, Inc. (“Dell”) and in support thereof, state and allege as follows:

Parties

- 128480.00601/35848719v.1

Jurisdiction and Venue

4. Typhoon admits that the Counterclaims purport to be an action for a declaration of non-infringement and patent invalidity arising under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and under the United States patent laws, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100, *et seq.* and that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Typhoon denies any claim that either United States Patent No. 5,379,057 (“the ‘057 patent”) or United States Patent No. 5,675,362 (“the 362 patent”) is invalid. Typhoon further denies any allegation that Dell does not infringe any claim of the ‘057 patent and the ‘362 patent.

5. Typhoon admits the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims.

COUNT I

Declaratory Relief Regarding Non-Infringement

6. Typhoon admits that a controversy exists as to whether Dell has directly and/or indirectly infringed any valid claim of the ‘057 and ‘362 patents, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Typhoon denies any allegation that Dell has not infringed, either directly or indirectly, any valid claim of either the ‘057 and/or ‘362 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

7. Typhoon denies the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims.

COUNT II

Declaratory Relief Regarding Invalidity

8. Typhoon admits that a controversy exists based in part upon Dell’s allegations that any claim of the ‘057 and ‘362 patent is invalid for failure to comply with any provision of 35 U.S.C. §§101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Typhoon denies any allegation that any claim of either the ‘057 or ‘362 patent is invalid.

9. Typhoon denies the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims.

EXCEPTIONAL CASE

10. Typhoon denies the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Typhoon requests that the Court enter a judgment in favor of Typhoon as recited in its Prayer; dismiss each of Defendant's Counterclaims with prejudice, and deny each of Defendant's prayers for relief recited in subsections (a) through (d) in their entirety so that Defendant obtains no relief and takes nothing for its Counterclaims.

Dated: April 16, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Trey Yarbrough

Trey Yarbrough
Texas Bar No. 22133500
trey@yarbroughlawfirm.com
YARBROUGH LAW FIRM
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1015
Tyler, Texas 75702
Phone: (903) 595-3111
Fax: (903) 595-0191

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS
TYPHOON TOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
and NOVA MOBILITY SYSTEMS, INC.**

OF-COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

TYPHOON TOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and NOVA MOBILITY SYSTEMS, INC.:

H. Keeto Sabharwal

N.Y. Bar No. 2910453

Sabharwal@blankrome.com

Nirav N. Desai

Virginia Bar No. 72887

Desai@blankrome.com

BLANK ROME LLP

600 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.

Washington, DC 20037

Phone: (202) 772-5932

Fax: (202) 772-5858

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this 16th day of April, 2008. Any other counsel of record will be served by facsimile transmission.

s/ Trey Yarbrough